



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,705	•	09/27/2001	Kiyohito Yoshihara	011153	1626
23850	7590	04/15/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRO		KRATZ, QUINTO	HAMZA, FARUK		
SUITE 1000	,	14 44		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON,	DC 20006		2155	
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/963,705	YOSHIHARA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Faruk Hamza	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provider of	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 s	September 2001.						
· _ · · 	is action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	o □ 144 + 10 0 0	(DTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the application filed on September 27, 2001. Claims
 1-11 are now pending.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no space in between several words. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,705 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is no space in between several words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the managed device" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the management device" in line 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 5 recites the limitation "the policy adjustment information" in line 8.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the evaluation result" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,705 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 12. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bearden et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,871,233) hereinafter referred as Bearden.
- 13. Bearden has disclosed:

<Claim 1>

Art Unit: 2155

A management device in a policy based management system for managing policy information by means of the management device, and distributing the policy information to the managed device, thereby controlling a traffic, said management device comprising:

a policy information input means for inputting policy information; (Column 4, lines 56-63)

a policy evaluation information input means for inputting evaluation information for evaluating an enforcement effect of a policy in the managed device; (Column 4, lines 56-63)

a policy adjustment information input means for inputting adjustment information for dynamically adjusting, a policy operated by the managed device; and (Column 5, lines 9-18)

a distribution means for distributing the input policy information, policy evaluation information, and policy adjustment information to the managed device. (Fig. 3, Column 1, lines 54-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

<Claim 2>

A management device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 1, wherein said policy evaluation information contains information for judging whether or not a policy assigned to each traffic conforms to the traffic, and said policy adjustment information contains information for ensuring that a

Application/Control Number: 09/963,705

Art Unit: 2155

policy judged as nonconformance conforms to said traffic. (Column 5, lines 19-45)

Page 6

<Claim 3>

A management device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 2, wherein said policy evaluation information contains information for judging whether or not a policy under operation is insufficient in resources relevant to an actual traffic, and said policy adjustment information contains information for reducing resource insufficiency of a policy. (Column 1, lines 65-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

<Claim 4>

A management device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 2, wherein said policy evaluation information contains information for judging whether or not a policy under operation is insufficient in resources relevant to an actual traffic, and said policy adjustment information contains information for reducing resource excess of a policy. (Column 1, lines 65-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

<Claim 5>

A managed device in a policy based management system for managing policy information by means of the management device, and distributing the

policy information to the managed device, thereby controlling a traffic, said managed device comprising:

a policy enforcement means for enforcing a policy information distributed from the management device, thereby controlling a traffic; (Fig. 3, Column 1, lines 54-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

a policy evaluation means for evaluating a policy under operation based on policy evaluation information distributed from the management device; and (Column 5, lines 19-45)

a policy adjustment means for dynamically adjusting a policy under operation based on the policy adjustment information distributed from the management device and the evaluation result obtained by evaluation means. (Column 5, lines 9-18)

<Claim 6>

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 5, wherein said policy evaluation means evaluates whether or not a policy assigned to each traffic conforms to the traffic, and said policy adjustment means ensures that the policy conforms to the traffic based on said evaluation means.

(Column 5, lines 19-45)

<Claim 7>

Art Unit: 2155

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 6, wherein said policy adjustment means reduces network resources assigned by a policy evaluated as a resource excess by said evaluation means. (Column 1, lines 65-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

<Claim 8>

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 6, wherein said policy adjustment means improves a quality of a policy evaluated as resource insufficiency by said evaluation means. (Column 1, lines 65-67; Column 2, lines 1-7)

<Claim 9>

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 5, wherein said policy adjustment means adjusts a policy assigned to each traffic in advance based on the evaluation result obtained by said evaluation means. (Column 5, lines 9-18)

<Claim 10>

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 5, further comprising a notifying means for notifying the policy information after adjusted to at least one of a management device and another managed device. (Column 3, lines 52-59)

Application/Control Number: 09/963,705

Art Unit: 2155

<Claim 11>

A managed device in a policy based management system as claimed in claim 5, where in said policy adjustment means adjusts a policy based on the notification from another managed device. (Column 5, lines 30-44).

Page 9

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.
- Mohaban et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,463,470) discloses methods and apparatus for storing policies for policy-based management of quality of service treatments of network data traffic flows.
- Eichert et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,393,474) discloses Dynamic policy
 management apparatus and method using active network device.
- Krishna et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,718,379) discloses a centralized policy management system.
- Putzolu et al. (U.S. Number 6,611,864) disclose Policy based network management architecture.
- Banginwar (U.S. Patent Number 6,611,863) discloses a technique for automatically identifying and assigning devices in a policy based management system.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,705

Art Unit: 2155

Page 10

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Faruk Hamza whose telephone number is 571-

272-7969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached at 571-272-3978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-

9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll –free).

Faruk Hamza

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unite 2155

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER